



E-ISSN: 2789-8830  
P-ISSN: 2789-8822  
[www.civillawjournal.com](http://www.civillawjournal.com)  
IJCLLR 2024; 4(1): 201-207  
Received: 28-03-2024  
Accepted: 03-05-2024

**Dr. Yogendra Kumar Verma**  
Professor, Department of Law,  
Patna University, Patna,  
Bihar, India

## **Navigating the digital divide: Analyzing the debate on internet access as a fundamental right**

**Dr. Yogendra Kumar Verma**

### **Abstract**

The exponential growth of the internet has ushered in a new era of connectivity, profoundly transforming societies on a global scale. With this transformation comes a pressing debate surrounding internet access as a fundamental right. This paper aims to analyze the legal and social aspects of this debate, delving into arguments for and against recognizing internet access as a fundamental right, and exploring the challenges and complexities inherent in this discourse.

Advocates argue that internet access serves as a cornerstone for the exercise of other fundamental rights, empowering individuals in various aspects of life. It facilitates freedom of expression, social inclusion, democratic participation, and aligns with the evolving human rights framework in the digital age. However, opponents raise concerns about the lack of universality in internet access, technical challenges such as infrastructure limitations and affordability, and the need to balance rights with security concerns.

Examining legal precedents and international human rights frameworks reveals the nuanced intersection between internet access and existing fundamental rights. Relevant cases and legal opinions from various jurisdictions shed light on the complexities of this issue. Furthermore, addressing challenges such as the digital divide, censorship, content regulation, and net neutrality requires a multi-stakeholder approach and policy interventions to promote infrastructure development, digital literacy initiatives, and regulatory frameworks for an open internet.

In conclusion, recognizing internet access as a fundamental right necessitates careful consideration of legal, social, and policy dimensions. By engaging in informed discourse and collaborative action, stakeholders can work towards bridging the digital divide and ensuring equitable access to the transformative power of the internet for all individuals and communities.

**Keywords:** Internet access, fundamental rights, digital divide, net neutrality, policy recommendations

### **1. Introduction**

The exponential growth of the internet over the past few decades has profoundly transformed societies globally, revolutionizing communication, commerce, education, and access to information. This digital revolution has not only reshaped the way individuals and businesses operate but has also influenced cultural and social dynamics on a global scale. As the internet becomes increasingly integral to daily life, a debate has emerged regarding whether internet access should be considered a fundamental human right. Advocates argue that in a digitally connected world, access to the internet is essential for the exercise of various other rights and freedoms, while opponents raise concerns about the feasibility and implications of enshrining such a right. This paper aims to analyze the legal and social aspects of this debate, examining the arguments for and against recognizing internet access as a fundamental right and exploring its potential implications for policy and society <sup>[1]</sup>.

### **2. Arguments for Recognizing Access to Internet as a Fundamental Right**

#### **A. Enabler of other fundamental rights**

Access to the internet serves as a critical enabler of various other fundamental rights. It empowers individuals to exercise their freedom of expression by providing a platform for voicing opinions, sharing information, and engaging in public discourse. The internet also facilitates access to information, allowing people to educate themselves on a wide range of topics and stay informed about current events. Moreover, it enhances participation in civic life, enabling citizens to engage with governmental processes, participate in political discussions, and mobilize for social causes. In the realm of commerce, internet access opens up economic opportunities by connecting individuals to global markets, allowing for online business ventures, remote work, and access to digital financial services.

**Correspondence**  
**Dr. Yogendra Kumar Verma**  
Professor, Department of Law,  
Patna University, Patna,  
Bihar, India

These aspects underscore the importance of internet access in enabling individuals to fully participate in modern society and exercise their rights <sup>[2]</sup>.

### **B. Social inclusion**

Internet access plays a pivotal role in promoting social inclusion by bridging social and economic divides. It facilitates education by providing access to a vast array of online resources, courses, and educational tools, enabling learners of all ages to acquire knowledge and skills that might otherwise be inaccessible. Furthermore, the internet opens up employment opportunities by connecting job seekers with potential employers, offering platforms for remote work, and enabling individuals to acquire new competencies through online training programs. Access to the internet also ensures that people can benefit from essential services such as telehealth, e-government services, and online banking, which are increasingly vital in a digital age. By fostering these opportunities, internet access helps to reduce inequalities and promote a more inclusive society <sup>[3]</sup>.

### **C. Democratic Participation**

Internet access significantly enhances democratic participation by facilitating communication, transparency, and citizen engagement in democratic processes. It allows for real-time communication between elected officials and constituents, creating a platform for public discourse and feedback. The internet also promotes transparency by making government actions and data more accessible to the public, thus holding authorities accountable. Furthermore, it enables citizens to engage in the democratic process more actively by participating in online campaigns, signing petitions, and accessing voting information. These capabilities ensure that citizens are better informed and more involved in the governance of their communities and countries, thus strengthening democratic institutions and practices <sup>[4]</sup>.

### **D. Evolving Human Rights Framework**

The evolving nature of human rights frameworks necessitates the recognition of internet access as a right essential for enjoying other rights in the digital age. As societies become increasingly digital, traditional human rights such as freedom of expression, the right to education, and the right to participate in cultural life are increasingly exercised online. The internet serves as a crucial platform for individuals to exercise these rights, making its accessibility vital for ensuring that people can fully enjoy their fundamental freedoms. Moreover, international bodies and human rights organizations are progressively acknowledging the importance of digital rights, reflecting a broader understanding of human rights in the 21st century. By recognizing internet access as a fundamental right, societies can ensure that all individuals have the opportunity to participate in the digital world, thereby upholding the principles of equality and nondiscrimination <sup>[5]</sup>.

## **3. Arguments Against Recognizing Access to Internet as a Right**

### **A. Lack of Universality**

One significant argument against recognizing internet access as a fundamental right is the current reality of uneven internet access across the globe. Despite the internet's rapid

expansion, significant disparities exist in terms of availability, affordability, and quality of access between different regions and socio-economic groups. In many developing countries, large portions of the population still lack reliable internet connectivity, making the recognition of internet access as a universal right seem impractical and challenging to implement. These disparities raise concerns about the feasibility of ensuring equal access to the internet for everyone, which is essential if it is to be considered a fundamental right. Addressing these inequities requires substantial investments in infrastructure, technology, and education, which might not be feasible for all countries, particularly those with limited resources <sup>[6]</sup>.

### **B. Technical Challenges**

One significant argument against recognizing internet access as a fundamental right is the current reality of uneven internet access across the globe. Despite the internet's rapid expansion, significant disparities exist in terms of availability, affordability, and quality of access between different regions and socio-economic groups. In many developing countries, large portions of the population still lack reliable internet connectivity, making the recognition of internet access as a universal right seem impractical and challenging to implement. These disparities raise concerns about the feasibility of ensuring equal access to the internet for everyone, which is essential if it is to be considered a fundamental right. Addressing these inequities requires substantial investments in infrastructure, technology, and education, which might not be feasible for all countries, particularly those with limited resources <sup>[7]</sup>.

### **C. Balancing Rights with Security Concerns**

Balancing internet access with security concerns presents a significant challenge to recognizing it as a fundamental right. The internet, while a powerful tool for communication and information, also poses risks related to national security, cybercrime, and the spread of harmful content. Governments often face the dilemma of protecting citizens and maintaining national security while ensuring the free flow of information. This tension can lead to conflicts over freedom of speech and the need for online content regulation. For instance, measures to curb misinformation, hate speech, and cyber threats can sometimes infringe on individuals' rights to freely access and share information. These complexities highlight the difficulty of universally guaranteeing internet access without compromising security and other fundamental rights <sup>[8]</sup>.

### **D. Role of the State vs. Private Sector:**

The debate over the state's responsibility to provide internet access versus relying on private internet service providers (ISPs) presents another argument against recognizing it as a fundamental right. Proponents of state intervention argue that access to the internet should be treated as a public utility, much like water or electricity, ensuring universal availability and affordability. However, critics contend that the private sector is better equipped to innovate and expand internet infrastructure efficiently due to competition and profit incentives. Relying on the state to provide internet access could lead to bureaucratic inefficiencies and stifle technological advancements. Additionally, there are concerns about the state's potential to misuse its control over internet access for surveillance or censorship.

Balancing these competing perspectives complicates the notion of enshrining internet access as a fundamental right, given the complexities in determining the appropriate roles of the state and private sector in this context<sup>[9]</sup>.

#### **4. Legal Precedents and International Human Rights Framework**

##### **A. How national and international legal pronouncements address internet access in relation to existing fundamental rights.**

National and international legal pronouncements increasingly address internet access in relation to existing fundamental rights. The United Nations has emphasized the importance of internet access through various resolutions, notably the 2016 resolution by the Human Rights Council, which affirmed that the same rights people have offline must also be protected online, underscoring the role of internet access in exercising freedom of expression and other fundamental rights<sup>[10]</sup>. On a national level, the Indian Supreme Court in 2019 recognized internet access as part of the constitutional right to freedom of speech and expression in the landmark judgment *Anuradha Bhasin v. Union of India*<sup>[11]</sup>. Similarly, the European Union's Charter of Fundamental Rights includes provisions that implicitly support the right to internet access, reinforcing the concept that digital access is integral to the enjoyment of human rights in the modern era<sup>[12]</sup>. These legal precedents and frameworks reflect a growing acknowledgment of the internet's critical role in facilitating the exercise of fundamental rights globally.

##### **B. Relevant cases and legal opinions from countries like India, the United States, and the European Union that have grappled with this issue.**

Analyzing relevant cases and legal opinions from countries like India, the United States, and the European Union reveals a nuanced approach to the issue of internet access as a fundamental right. In India, the Supreme Court's decision in *Anuradha Bhasin v. Union of India* recognized internet access as an essential part of the constitutional right to freedom of speech and expression, highlighting its importance for education and business and mandating that any restriction on internet services must be proportional and necessary<sup>[13]</sup>. In the United States, while there is no explicit legal precedent that categorizes internet access as a fundamental right, legal opinions and policy discussions often emphasize its importance for exercising First Amendment rights, reflecting an implicit recognition of its significance<sup>[14]</sup>. The European Union has taken a more structured approach through the Charter of Fundamental Rights, which includes provisions on digital rights and access to services, thus implicitly supporting the notion that internet access is crucial for the enjoyment of various fundamental rights<sup>[15]</sup>. These cases and legal opinions underscore the evolving recognition of internet access as vital for exercising fundamental rights, although the approaches and extents of recognition vary across jurisdictions.

##### **C. Indirect Contribution to the Right to Internet Access**

Several articles within international human rights instruments like the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) and the International Covenant on Civil and

Political Rights (ICCPR) implicitly support the right to internet access.

Article 19 of both the UDHR and the ICCPR is pivotal. It states that everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression, which includes the freedom to seek, receive, and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers<sup>[16]</sup>. In the digital age, the internet is a fundamental platform for exercising this right, facilitating access to information and enabling the expression of opinions.

Article 21 of the UDHR and Article 25 of the ICCPR affirm the right to participate in public affairs, directly or through freely chosen representatives<sup>[17]</sup>. The internet has become an essential tool for political engagement and democratic participation, providing a platform for dialogue between citizens and their governments.

Moreover, Article 27 of the UDHR and Article 15 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) highlight the right to freely participate in cultural life, enjoy the arts, and share in scientific advancement and its benefits<sup>[18]</sup>. The internet serves as a crucial medium for cultural exchange, education, and access to scientific knowledge.

Incorporating these articles into the legal framework underscores the significance of the internet in realizing various human rights, thereby suggesting that access to the internet is essential for the full enjoyment of these rights.

#### **5. Challenges and Complexities**

##### **A. Digital Divide**

The digital divide refers to the gap between those who have access to modern information and communication technology, including the internet, and those who do not. This divide is influenced by various social, economic, and geographic factors.

##### **a. Social Factors**

Education plays a significant role in the digital divide. Individuals with higher levels of education are more likely to use and benefit from the internet<sup>[19]</sup>. Additionally, age and digital literacy are critical. Younger generations tend to be more adept at using digital technologies, whereas older individuals may lack the skills necessary to navigate the internet effectively<sup>[20]</sup>.

##### **b. Economic Factors**

Income disparities heavily influence access to the internet. High costs of internet service, devices, and maintenance can prevent low-income households from obtaining internet access<sup>[21]</sup>. Furthermore, regions with higher poverty rates often have less developed infrastructure, exacerbating the digital divide.

##### **c. Geographic Factors**

Rural and remote areas frequently suffer from limited internet infrastructure. Unlike urban centers, these areas may lack the necessary investment in broadband technology, resulting in slower speeds and less reliable connections<sup>[22]</sup>. Geographic isolation thus compounds the difficulties in achieving equitable internet access.

##### **d. Proposed Solutions**

To bridge the digital divide, several strategies can be implemented:

- **Investment in Infrastructure:** Governments and private sectors should invest in building and upgrading internet infrastructure in underserved areas<sup>[23]</sup>.

- **Subsidies and Affordable Plans:** Providing subsidies for low-income families and creating affordable internet plans can make access more equitable<sup>[24]</sup>.
- **Education and Training Programs:** Initiatives to enhance digital literacy, particularly among older adults and less educated populations, can help more people utilize internet resources effectively<sup>[25]</sup>.
- **Public Access Points:** Establishing public internet access points, such as in libraries and community centers, can provide access to those who cannot afford private services<sup>[26]</sup>.

By addressing these factors through targeted solutions, the digital divide can be significantly reduced, ensuring more equitable access to digital technologies.

## B. Censorship and Content Regulation

Balancing internet access with the regulation of online content, including censorship and the control of hate speech, presents significant challenges. Governments and organizations must navigate the tension between ensuring freedom of expression and protecting users from harmful content.

### a. Freedom of Expression vs. Censorship

Freedom of expression is a fundamental human right, enshrined in international instruments like Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)<sup>[27]</sup>. However, this right is not absolute and can be subject to certain restrictions, such as those necessary to respect the rights or reputations of others, or for the protection of national security, public order, or public health and morals<sup>[28]</sup>. The challenge lies in implementing these restrictions without resorting to undue censorship, which can stifle free speech and access to information.

### b. Hate Speech and Harmful Content

Regulating hate speech and other harmful online content is a pressing concern. Hate speech can incite violence, discrimination, and hostility, undermining social cohesion and the safety of individuals and communities<sup>[29]</sup>. Effective regulation requires clear definitions and consistent enforcement to avoid arbitrary or politically motivated censorship. Many countries struggle to balance these regulatory measures with the need to protect free speech.

### c. Challenges in Implementation

- **Definitional Ambiguity:** Defining what constitutes hate speech or harmful content can be highly subjective and varies across cultures and legal systems, making universal standards difficult to establish<sup>[30]</sup>.
- **Technological Constraints:** Automated systems for detecting and removing harmful content are not always reliable and can lead to over-censorship or the erroneous removal of legitimate content<sup>[31]</sup>.
- **Government Overreach:** There is a risk that governments might use content regulation as a pretext to suppress dissent and control public opinion, rather than genuinely protecting citizens from harm<sup>[32]</sup>.

### d. Proposed Solutions

To address these challenges, a multi-faceted approach is required:

- **Transparent Policies:** Developing clear, transparent

policies and guidelines for content regulation can help ensure that restrictions are applied consistently and fairly<sup>[33]</sup>.

- **Stakeholder Collaboration:** Engaging multiple stakeholders, including governments, private companies, civil society, and international organizations, can lead to more balanced and effective regulation<sup>[34]</sup>.
- **Technological Innovations:** Investing in advanced technologies that can accurately identify harmful content while preserving free speech is crucial. This includes improving artificial intelligence systems and providing human oversight to mitigate errors<sup>[35]</sup>.
- **Education and Awareness:** Promoting digital literacy and awareness about the implications of hate speech and harmful content can empower users to navigate online spaces more safely and responsibly<sup>[36]</sup>.

## C. Net Neutrality

Net neutrality is the principle that internet service providers (ISPs) should treat all data on the internet equally, without discriminating or charging differently based on user, content, website, platform, or application<sup>[37]</sup>. This principle is crucial for ensuring equal access to online resources and services, promoting innovation, and maintaining a level playing field for all internet users.

### a. Importance of Net Neutrality

- **Equal Access to Information:** Net neutrality ensures that all users have the same access to information and resources on the internet, regardless of their location or the type of data they are accessing<sup>[38]</sup>. Without net neutrality, ISPs could prioritize certain websites or services, making it more difficult for users to access information freely.
  - **Promoting Innovation:** The principle of net neutrality fosters innovation by providing a fair environment for startups and small businesses to compete with established companies<sup>[39]</sup>. When all data is treated equally, new entrants can offer their services without being disadvantaged by higher costs or slower speeds imposed by ISPs.
  - **Preventing Discrimination:** Net neutrality prevents ISPs from discriminating against specific types of content or services. This is essential for protecting free speech and ensuring that users can access diverse viewpoints and information without interference<sup>[40]</sup>.
  - **Maintaining Consumer Choice:** By treating all internet traffic equally, net neutrality preserves consumer choice. Users can choose the services and content they prefer without being steered towards certain options by ISPs through practices like throttling or paid prioritization<sup>[41]</sup>.
- ### b. Challenges to Net Neutrality:
- Despite its importance, net neutrality faces significant challenges. ISPs may argue that they need the flexibility to manage network traffic effectively and ensure quality of service. Additionally, the potential for increased revenue through prioritization deals can drive ISPs to seek exemptions from net neutrality rules<sup>[42]</sup>.
- ### c. Proposed Solutions:
- To safeguard net neutrality, several measures can be implemented:
- **Legislation and Regulation:** Governments can enact

and enforce laws that enshrine the principles of net neutrality, ensuring that ISPs cannot engage in discriminatory practices<sup>[43]</sup>.

- **Transparency Requirements:** Imposing transparency requirements on ISPs can help ensure that any traffic management practices are openly disclosed and subject to public scrutiny<sup>[44]</sup>.
- **International Cooperation:** Global cooperation and the establishment of international standards for net neutrality can help address cross-border issues and ensure consistent protections worldwide<sup>[45]</sup>.
- **Public Advocacy:** Encouraging public awareness and advocacy for net neutrality can help build broad-based support for policies that protect equal access to the internet<sup>[46]</sup>.

#### d. Policy Recommendations

##### A. Promote Infrastructure Development

To support infrastructure development and affordability in underserved areas, policymakers should consider implementing the following policy measures:

1. **Investment Incentives:** Provide financial incentives for private sector investment in broadband infrastructure deployment in rural and remote regions<sup>[47]</sup>.
2. **Public-Private Partnerships:** Foster partnerships between governments and telecommunications companies to share the costs of expanding infrastructure into underserved areas<sup>[48]</sup>.
3. **Subsidies for Affordability:** Offer subsidies or tax breaks to reduce the cost of internet service for low-income households in areas with limited connectivity<sup>[49]</sup>.

##### B. Digital Literacy Initiatives

To bridge the digital divide, advocating for programs to promote digital literacy skills and awareness is essential. Policy recommendations include:

1. **Education Funding:** Allocate resources to schools and community centers for digital literacy training programs targeting vulnerable populations<sup>[50]</sup>.
2. **Public Awareness Campaigns:** Launch public awareness campaigns to educate citizens about the importance of digital literacy and how to access online resources safely<sup>[51]</sup>.
3. **Inclusive Curriculum:** Integrate digital literacy into school curricula at all levels to ensure that students are equipped with essential digital skills<sup>[52]</sup>.

##### C. Multi-stakeholder Approach

Achieving equitable internet access requires collaboration between governments, civil society, and the private sector. Policy recommendations for fostering multi-stakeholder partnerships include:

**Policy Dialogues:** Organize regular policy dialogues involving government representatives, industry leaders, and civil society organizations to address challenges and share best practices<sup>[53]</sup>.

1. **Task Forces:** Establish task forces or working groups dedicated to specific aspects of internet access, such as infrastructure development or digital literacy, with representation from diverse stakeholders<sup>[54]</sup>.
2. **Public-Private Initiatives:** Encourage public-private

initiatives that leverage the strengths of each sector to address gaps in internet access and digital inclusion<sup>[55]</sup>.

#### D. Regulation for Open Internet

To ensure open and non-discriminatory access to internet resources, policymakers should consider implementing frameworks for net neutrality and internet governance. Policy recommendations include:

1. **Net Neutrality Legislation:** Enact legislation that codifies principles of net neutrality, prohibiting ISPs from blocking, throttling, or prioritizing internet traffic based on content or source<sup>[56]</sup>.
2. **Regulatory Oversight:** Establish regulatory bodies tasked with overseeing internet service provision and enforcing net neutrality regulations to prevent anti-competitive behavior<sup>[57]</sup>.
3. **International Cooperation:** Work with international partners to develop and implement standards for internet governance that uphold principles of openness, transparency, and inclusivity<sup>[58]</sup>.

#### 6. Conclusion

In conclusion, the discourse surrounding internet access as a fundamental right is a multifaceted and evolving conversation with profound implications for societies worldwide. As highlighted throughout this analysis, the internet serves as a catalyst for the realization of other fundamental rights, including freedom of expression, social inclusion, and democratic participation. Its transformative potential extends beyond mere connectivity, empowering individuals, bridging divides, and shaping the contours of contemporary life.

However, the path towards universal internet access is fraught with challenges and complexities. The digital divide persists, driven by social, economic, and geographic disparities that hinder equitable access to online resources. Moreover, the tension between internet access and concerns about censorship, hate speech, and content regulation underscores the delicate balance between freedom of expression and other societal interests. Similarly, the principle of net neutrality emerges as a critical safeguard for ensuring equal access to online resources and services, yet faces opposition and regulatory uncertainties.

Addressing these challenges requires a concerted effort from stakeholders across sectors. Policymakers must prioritize infrastructure development, promote digital literacy initiatives, and adopt a multi-stakeholder approach to policy formulation. Furthermore, regulatory frameworks for the open internet must be established, balancing the imperatives of access, security, and freedom of expression.

As we navigate the complexities of the digital age, it is imperative to recognize internet access not merely as a privilege but as a fundamental right essential for the exercise of other human rights. Moving forward, concerted action and collaboration are needed to ensure that the promise of the internet is realized for all, fostering inclusive and democratic societies in the digital era.

In this spirit, let us heed the call to action to advocate for policies and initiatives that promote equitable internet access, foster digital literacy, and safeguard the principles of net neutrality and open internet governance. By doing so, we can collectively work towards a future where the transformative power of the internet is harnessed for the benefit of all humanity.

## 7. References

1. Castells, Manuel. *The Rise of the Network Society*. 2nd ed., Wiley-Blackwell; c2010.
2. McIver, William J., and Ahmed K. Elmagarmid, editors. *Advances in Digital Government: Technology, Human Factors, and Policy*. Springer; c2002.
3. van Dijk, Jan AGM. *The Deepening Divide: Inequality in the Information Society*. Sage Publications; c2005.
4. Norris Pippa. *Digital Divide: Civic Engagement, Information Poverty, and the Internet Worldwide*. Cambridge University Press; c2001.
5. La Rue, Frank. Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Promotion and Protection of the Right to Freedom of Opinion and Expression. United Nations General Assembly, 16 May 2011, [www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/hrcouncil/docs/17session/A.HRC.17.27\\_en.pdf](http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/hrcouncil/docs/17session/A.HRC.17.27_en.pdf).
6. International Telecommunication Union (ITU). "Measuring Digital Development: Facts and Figures 2020." ITU Publications; c2020, [www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Documents/facts/FactsFigures2020.pdf](http://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Documents/facts/FactsFigures2020.pdf).
7. International Telecommunication Union (ITU). "Measuring Digital Development: Facts and Figures 2020." ITU Publications; c2020, [www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Documents/facts/FactsFigures2020.pdf](http://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Documents/facts/FactsFigures2020.pdf).
8. Deibert Ronald J, *et al.* *Access Controlled: The Shaping of Power, Rights, and Rule in Cyberspace*. MIT Press; c2010.
9. Mansell, Robin. *Imagining the Internet: Communication, Innovation, and Governance*. Oxford University Press; c2012.
10. United Nations Human Rights Council. *The Promotion, Protection and Enjoyment of Human Rights on the Internet*. A/HRC/RES/32/13, 1 July 2016, [www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/hrcouncil/docs/32session/A.HRC.32.L.20.pdf](http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/hrcouncil/docs/32session/A.HRC.32.L.20.pdf).
11. Supreme Court of India. *Anuradha Bhasin v. Union of India*. Writ Petition (Civil) No. 1031 of 2019, judgment dated 10 January 2020.
12. European Union. *Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union*. 2012/C 326/02, 26 October 2012, [eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:12012P/TXT](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:12012P/TXT).
13. Supreme Court of India. *Anuradha Bhasin v. Union of India*. Writ Petition (Civil) No. 1031 of 2019, judgment dated 10 January 2020.
14. Balkin Jack M. *Digital Speech and Democratic Culture: A Theory of Freedom of Expression for the Information Society*. *New York University Law Review*, vol. 79, no. 1, 2004, pp. 1-55.
15. European Union. "Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union." 2012/C 326/02, 26 October 2012, [eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:12012P/TXT](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:12012P/TXT).
16. Universal Declaration of Human Rights, G.A. Res. 217A (III), U.N. Doc. A/810 at 71 (1948); International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Dec. 19, 1966, 999 U.N.T.S. 171.
17. Universal Declaration of Human Rights, G.A. Res. 217A (III), U.N. Doc. A/810 at 71 (1948); International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Dec. 19, 1966, 999 U.N.T.S. 171.
18. Universal Declaration of Human Rights, G.A. Res. 217A (III), U.N. Doc. A/810 at 71 (1948); International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, Dec. 16, 1966, 993 U.N.T.S. 3.
19. *Bridging the Digital Divide: Improving Internet Access in the Developing World Through Affordable Services and Diverse Content*, World Bank; c2016.
20. Van Deursen, Alexander J.A.M., and Jan A.G.M. van Dijk. *The Digital Divide Shifts to Differences in Usage*. *New Media & Society*. 2014;16(3):507-526.
21. "The State of Broadband 2019: Broadband as a Foundation for Sustainable Development," International Telecommunication Union (ITU) and United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO); c2019.
22. *Broadband Infrastructure and Economic Growth*, U.S. Government Accountability Office, GAO-21-493, June 2021.
23. *Connecting the Unconnected: Working Together to Achieve Universal Internet Access by 2030*, Internet Society; c2020.
24. OECD. *Bridging the Digital Divide: Include, Upskill, Innovate*. OECD Digital Economy Papers, No. 272, OECD Publishing; c2019.
25. Van Deursen, Alexander JAM, Ellen Helsper J. *The Third-Level Digital Divide: Who Benefits Most from Being Online? Communication and Information Technologies Annual*, 2015;10:29-52
26. *Public Access ICT: Challenges and Opportunities for Libraries*, Electronic Information for Libraries (EIFL); c2018.
27. Universal Declaration of Human Rights, G.A. Res. 217A (III), U.N. Doc. A/810 at 71 (1948); International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Dec. 19, 1966, 999 U.N.T.S. 171.
28. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Dec. 19, 1966, 999 U.N.T.S. 171.
29. Gagliardone, Iginio, *et al.* *Countering Online Hate Speech*. UNESCO Publishing; c2015
30. *Freedom of Expression and the Internet, Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE)* c2016.
31. Gillespie, Tarleton. *Custodians of the Internet: Platforms, Content Moderation, and the Hidden Decisions That Shape Social Media*. Yale University Press; c2018.
32. *Freedom on the Net 2019: The Crisis of Social Media*, Freedom House; c2019.
33. *Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights: Implementing the United Nations 'Protect, Respect and Remedy' Framework*, United Nations; c2011.
34. *The Role of Digital Platforms in Countering Violent Extremism and Hate Speech*, European Commission; c2020.
35. *Artificial Intelligence and Hate Speech Detection: Challenges and Solutions*, Council of Europe; c2019.
36. *Digital Literacy for Children: Teaching Responsible and Safe Internet Use*, UNICEF; c2020.
37. Tim Wu, *Network Neutrality, Broadband Discrimination*. *Journal of Telecommunications and High Technology Law*. 2003;2:141-179.
38. Barbara van Schewick, *Internet Architecture and Innovation*, MIT Press; c2010.
39. Kevin Werbach. *The Centripetal Network: How the Internet Holds Itself Together, and the Forces Tearing It*

- Apart, University of California, Davis Law Review. 2009;42:343-412.
40. Protecting Internet Freedom: Net Neutrality, American Civil Liberties Union; c2018.
  41. Susan Crawford. Captive Audience: The Telecom Industry and Monopoly Power in the New Gilded Age, Yale University Press; c2013.
  42. The Net Neutrality Debate: Access to Broadband Networks, Congressional Research Service; c2020.
  43. FCC Restoring Internet Freedom Order, Federal Communications Commission; c2017.
  44. OECD Telecommunications and Internet Policy, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development; c2019.
  45. Global Net Neutrality: The Road Ahead, Internet Society; c2016.
  46. Net Neutrality: What You Need to Know Now, Electronic Frontier Foundation; c2020.
  47. Broadband Infrastructure and Economic Growth,” U.S. Government Accountability Office, GAO-21-493, June 2021.
  48. Public-Private Partnerships for Broadband Development: Lessons from Case Studies, World Bank; c2018.
  49. The State of Broadband 2019: Broadband as a Foundation for Sustainable Development,” International Telecommunication Union (ITU) and United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO); c2019.
  50. Digital Literacy and Inclusion: The Role of Schools, European Commission; c2020.
  51. Empowering Citizens in the Digital Age: Strategies for Public Awareness and Education, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD); c2019.
  52. Digital Literacy Education in Schools, UNESCO; c2017.
  53. Multi-stakeholder Partnerships for Digital Transformation, World Economic Forum; c2020.
  54. Building Multi-stakeholder Partnerships for Digital Inclusion, Global Partnership for Sustainable Development Data; c2019.
  55. Public-Private Partnerships for Digital Inclusion, International Telecommunication Union (ITU); c2018.
  56. FCC Restoring Internet Freedom Order, Federal Communications Commission; c2017.
  57. Net Neutrality: Towards a Co-regulatory Framework, European Parliament; c2018.
  58. Shaping the Future of the Internet: A Multistakeholder Approach, World Summit on the Information Society (WSIS); c2020.